

1
2
3
4
5 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
6 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
7 AT SEATTLE

8 MS AMLIN MARINE NV, SYNDICATE
9 0457, SYNDICATE 1969, SYNDICATE
10 2791, SYNDICATE 5000, CONVEX
11 INSURANCE UK LTD, and
12 UNDERWRITERS AT LLOYD'S
13 LONDON SUBSCRIBING TO POLICY
14 B1098M203407,

15 Plaintiffs,

16 v.
17
18 DELTA MARINE INDUSTRIES INC,
19 MARINE TRAVELIFT INC,
20 KENDRICK EQUIPMENT (USA) LLC,
21 KENDRICK EQUIPMENT LTD, and
22 ARXCIS INC,

23 Defendants.

CASE NO. 2:23-cv-14

ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFFS'
MOTION TO SEAL EXHIBIT 8

18 Plaintiffs filed two motions to seal. Dkt. Nos. 53 and 79. The Court already
19 granted one motion, Dkt. No. 79, but the Court lacked sufficient information to rule
20 on the other motion, Dkt. No. 53. *See* Dkt. No. 91 at 4. The motion at Dkt. No. 53
21 asked the Court to seal Exhibit 8 to Katie Mattison's declaration, Dkt. No. 57, which
22 was filed under temporary seal. The Court ordered Defendant Delta Marine

1 Industries, as the party wishing to keep Exhibit 8 under wraps, why the exhibit
2 should remain sealed. The Court directed Delta to address the requirements for
3 sealing under Local Civil Rule (LCR) 5. Delta filed a response to the Order,
4 addressing LCR 5. Dkt. 92.

5 Having considered Delta's response (Dkt. 92), the initial briefing on the
6 motions to seal, the record, and the law, the Court is fully informed and finds that
7 Exhibit 8 should remain sealed. The Court agrees that it contains private business
8 information, including proprietary information, and that Delta has a legitimate
9 interest in keeping the information confidential. Further, the Court finds that the
10 parties have taken steps to minimize the amount of information filed under seal
11 and that Delta has sufficiently explained why there is not a "less restrictive
12 alternative" to sealing Dkt. No. 57. The Court finds that the requirements of LCR
13 5(g)(3)(B) are met and that there are compelling reasons to seal Exhibit 8.

14 Accordingly, the Court GRANTS Plaintiffs' Motion to Seal, Dkt. No. 53.
15 Exhibit 8 to the Declaration of Katie Mattison, Dkt. No. 57, will REMAIN SEALED.

16 Finally, because the Court has entered a stipulated order of dismissal,
17 dismissing Defendant Marine Travelift, Inc. from this action, the Court sua sponte
18 AMENDS the case caption, removing Marine Travelift as a named defendant. Dkt.
19 No. 94. All future filings should include the updated caption.

20 The Clerk is directed to send uncertified copies of this Order to all counsel of
21 record and to any party appearing pro se at said party's last known address.

22 Dated this 16th day of July, 2024.

1 
2

3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23

10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23

Jamal N. Whitehead
United States District Judge